UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,976	07/08/2004	Per Gustafsson	027651-243	3233
21839 7590 01/11/2007 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			HEITBRINK, JILL LYNNE	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1732	
·	·			·
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/500,976	GUSTAFSSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art.Unit				
	Jill L. Heitbrink	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•—	·					
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2004</u> is/are: a) □ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,	xamilier. Note the attached Office	Action of lotter 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/500,976

Art Unit: 1732

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 2 should be amended so as not to refer to specific claim numbers which may change during the prosecution of this application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the mold parts 6 being held together by the unity device (only on mold part 6 is shown) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Application/Control Number: 10/500,976 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: sleeve 22, molding tool 5, two outer mold parts 6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-7, 12, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. Pat. No. 5,183,605.
- 6. Brown discloses the process steps claimed (especially col. 2, lines 36-68, col. 3, lines 31-37 and col. 10, lines 14-25) except for the injection nozzle being positioned in

Application/Control Number: 10/500,976

Art Unit: 1732

the molding tool. Brown discloses the use of a runner plate between the nozzle and the molding tool so as to fill a plurality of sprues in the molding tool. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to position the nozzle in the molding tool in Brown when only one sprue is provided. The molding tool is subjected to a first force by the C shaped frame and then the molding tool is subjected to a second force by the lifting mechanism which is in addition to the first force. Therefor, the second force on the molding tool is larger than the first force on the molding tool. The plastic part would cool during the displacement (Fig. 7) since no heating is applied.

- 7. Claims 8-11 and 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. Pat. No. 5,183,605 as applied to claims 1-7, 12, 17 and 19 above, and further in view of Lees et al (Pat. No. 6,467,238).
- 8. Lees teaches the injection molding of a top section on one end of a sleeve or apertures in a material web by placing the carton C in the mold. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a perform such as a sleeve or web in the molding tool of Brown (see col. 4, lines 62-68) so as to produce an injection molded carton as is well known in the art of forming cartons. The examiner notes that the present specification does not disclose any details for the insertion of the sleeve or web in the mold and is relying on this well known method and apparatus for support.
- 9. Claims 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. Pat. No. 5,183,605 as applied to claims 1-7, 12, 17 and 19 above, and further in view of Brown et al. Pat. No. 5,643,614.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,976

Art Unit: 1732

- 10. Brown '614 teaches a cam mechanism for disassembly of the molding tool (col. 7, lines 6-64). It would have been obvious to provide the cam mechanism of Brown '614 with the apparatus of Brown '605 since both are operating on self clamped molds.
- 11. Claims 13-16, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. Pat. No. 5,183,605 as applied to claims 1-7, 12, 17 and 19 above, and further in view of German Publication 4310980.
- 12. The German Publication teaches a two part mold closed with the use of cams and springs shown in Fig. 5. The springs are believed to be closing the mold halves 1 and 3 as shown in Fig. 5. It would have been obvious to use the closing mechanism of German '980 so as to provide the first locking pressure of Brown since these will provide a closed mold when removed from the injection pressure application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill L. Heitbrink whose telephone number is (571) 272-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 am -2 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/500,976

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jill L. Heitbrink
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732

jlh